**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR**

**Civil Application No. 6890/2007 in**
**First Appeal No. 196/1991 (decided)**

Sharadchandra Narayanrao Padgilwar ..vs.. State of Maharashtra and Ors.

---

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's Orders or directions and Registrar's orders. | Court's or Judge's Orders |
|---|---|

---

**CORAM: A. P. LAVANDE & R. V. MORE, JJ.**
**DATED : 27th September, 2007**

Heard Mr. Agnihotri, Advocate for the applicant and Mr. Kankale, A.G.P. for respondent Nos. 1 to 3. In view of order we propose to pass, we deem it not necessary to issue notice to respondent Nos. 4 to 7.

By this application, the applicant who is original appellant in First Appeal No. 196/1991, seeks correction of typographical mistakes appearing in judgment dated 22.08.2007 in First Appeal No. 196/1991. According to the applicant in paragraph 10 in last line as well as in last line of paragraph 11 instead of respondent nos. 4 to 7 it has been

mentioned as, "*respondent nos. 3 to 7*". According to Mr. Agnihotri, it is an admitted position that applicant and respondent nos. 4 to 7 are entitled to 1/5$^{th}$ share each in the enhanced compensation. This has not been disputed by Mr. Kankale, learned A.G.P. for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

In view of above, the application is allowed. Judgment dated 22.08.2007 in First Appeal No. 196/1991 to the extend it mentions "*respondent nos. 3 to 7"* in paragraph Nos. 10 and 11 is corrected to "*respondent nos. 4 to 7*". Necessary corrections be carried out in the judgment.

The application stands disposed of accordingly.

**JUDGE** **JUDGE**

kahale